V

P 32

App. F. IX-4

1932.

File No. 170-32

Serial Nos. 1-8 & 10.

Previous References
Dis. 271-29-18
" 165-32-6
Dol 136-31-2

Later References
Dol 174-32-11-14

(Pol)

PRESERVED

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. Nine

Part B for Nov 33.

SUBJECT.

Re. increasing the strength of the Police force and sanctions for the Chamarajanagara Temple.

(1) To

The Dy. Comr
" D.S. of Police.
" Compr this H Sectt.
" D.C. Mysore.
" Chief Commandant

No. P 1964-7 dy 31.10.33.

Sanctions one daffedar and nine Constables.

Dy. Chief Secy
25-11-33

Serial No.

From

The D. C. of Police

Letter no R.C. 930/32 dated 12.4.33 from
for sanction, proposals as to the extra police
establishment required on account of the tra
the Chamarajanagar Temple and Nanjangud &
Mutts guard from the Military to the Police &

The D. C. of Police proposes a guard
of 1 daffedar & 6 Constables...to Chamarajanag
Temple as against the existing Military staff
2 non commissioned officers & 13 men and a gua
1 daffedar & 6 Constables each to Nanjanga
& Sirsale Mutts &c as against 2 non commissi offi
men and 1 non Commissioned Officer & 6 men respec

In view of the proposed reduction
strength of the guard in each of these institu
the Mutts may perhaps be asked if the Sta
proposal would meet their requirements. As
the Chamarajanagar Temple, the Mysore Bra
may perhaps be in a position to note.

The file may perhaps be sent to
Mysore Branch for ascertaining & furnish
informations on the above points.

Entroitter for orders.

12/5/33.

As the withdrawal of the B
by the Police
and their replacement in the case
two Mutts has to be agreed to
the Mutts and as the arrange
ment with those institutions ma
may remain, the Mysore Govt ma
[illegible] the proposal regarding Chamarajanaga

Contn. Sheet.

Transfer of guard duties to Police Depot

6831 P
5876 P

File No. of 193 . General and Revenue Secretariat

ey perhaps be sanctioned. The Fin Sec (Meyrick) ayer consulted. At para 7 in the brief e the Fin Sec has agreed to the policy. The D.G. of Police says that it is proposed to 5.30. Effect the transfer from 1-6-33

The replacement of the Military temple & Mint guards by the Police results in ~~saving~~ increase of Rs 278 per annum. The Mints are not likely to object to this measure which reduces the amount payable by them & it is perhaps unnecessary to consult them. Orders sanctioning the D.G.P.'s proposals may issue as it is intended to bring this into force from 1st June 1933.

	Military	Police
Chamarajapet temple	Rs 278 —	120-3-6
Royapuram Mint	152 —	115-12-0
Kozikode Mint	130 —	115-12-0.

Chief Secretary)

12/5/33

I see no harm in passing. The D.G.P. etc for case in which ~~Mint~~ Mints' —

P. Secy

The Chief Secretary directed that the Mints may be

Dy

CHIEF SECRETARY
13 MAY 1933

Police guards to certain Mutts 3

G.O. 4402
P. 5876

Serial No.

Draft letter to the Muzrai Commr is submitted for approval & issue

16/5/33.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner,

Letter no P5 971 dated 16-5-33 requesting to be informed of the opinion of the Matathipathis on the proposed change of guard.

From

The Muzrai Commissioner,

Letter no 1272/32-33 dated 5-6-33 forwarding the Srimukas in original received from the Matathipathis of the two mutts to say that the Military guards may be retained in their mutts instead of posting Police guards.

The Muzrai Commissioner is of opinion that the views taken by the Matathipathis that the Police guards are not as efficient as the Military guards is unfounded & that there is no reason to retain Military guards in the Mutts while they were all replaced by Police guards in more important places.

In the circumstances explained by the Muzrai Commr the proposal of the D. C. of Police may perhaps be returned.

6/6/33.

But in virtue of section 18 of the Police

1. Secy A (Please remind on 26-5-33) 19/5/33. ✓

Send under a reminder. 27/5. Done 27/5/33.

Issued Nop 6127 27/5/33.

Returned to the Protection Branch with the enclosed file Nil, 16/5-33.

27/5/33

NOTE—Contd. Sheet.

Police Guards for Mutts & Temples
1931

File No. of 193 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

Police Regulation the Police Guards may be can be posted at these Mutts only when the Mahathi- pathes want them & when they apply for them Now the problem is that they are not wanted by the Mutts.

There is no provision under Police Regulation to force the Police Guards on them when they are averse to their being posted.

The only course is to inform the Heads of the Mutts that the Police Guards will have to be withdrawn from the Mutts in accordance with the recent policy of Govt & if they do not like to have Police Guards instead they will have to do without the Guards. This will naturally make them reconsider the question again & elicit a favourable reply favourable to the posting of Police Guards.

If approved the Musrai Commr may be requested to inform the Mutts on the above lines & obtain their reply again.

Submitted for orders with a draft.

7/6/1933.

The proposal as regards the Chamarajanagar Temple may be approved.

7/6/33.

Reminder from the Asst Police Supt 93. 95/6/33 Requests early orders in the matter.

63&4
to withdrawing Military from Guard 5
Police duties in Temples & Mutts
and transferring same to the Police

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 . | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

The two Mutts who were
consulted express an apprehension that
the Police Guards will not be as efficient
as the Military, & want that the
Military Guards should be continued &
agree to bear all the cost.

From the Military point of view,
it was considered undesirable to take
Guard duties to the Sepoys, & it was for this
reason that they were relieved of this duty
at the Treasuries also. The Mutts may be
informed that it is the policy of the Govt to
relieve the Military of guard duties that
consequently the Sepoys will have to be taken
away, & if they so desire Police Guards will
be substituted. It may be pointed out
that their apprehensions are unfounded
& that even for the Treasuries there are
only Police Guards.

In the meanwhile orders may
be passed transferring Guard duties at
Chamarajnagar Temple & the Bank.

Chief Secretary)
1st Minute
2nd Minute ✓

12/6/33

(5/6/33)

15/6

agree
16/6

19/6

4 5/16

OFFICE OF THE
FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL
15 JUNE 1933
No. I.R. 221

NOTE—Contd. Sheet.

WD 0246 —GPB—15,000—22-8-32

agree
16/6

18/6
21/6

6 Police guards for Temple & Mutts.

File No. 120 of 1932 — General and Revenue Secretariat

Draft order and a draft letter are submitted for appl.

29/6/33 M.S.K.

Done
G. 23/6/33

C. Cenl. 2218
Draft-Hair here.

29/6

Issue.
29.6.33.

Issued

G.O no P6625-79 dated 29.6.33 Sanctioning a Police guard to the Chamaraja nagar Temple in place the Military guard, reserving the question of posting Police guards to the Sosale & Narayanpur Mutts for separate orders.

To
The Mysore Comm.
Letter no P6624 dated 29.6.33 Stating that the Mahasamsthanadhipathi may be told that in pursuance of the policy of govt. all the military guards in civil institutions will have to be withdrawn & that they have reasons for any apprehension as to the Police staffs and requesting

Serial No.

Sec A
28/2/933

requesting that the views of the Matadhipathi may be obtained & submitted to Govt

From.

The Mysore Commissioner,
Letter no 1272/32-33 dated 8/11-7-33
Stating that under the authority of the Sannad issued in 1849 by His Highness the Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wadeyar III, Chamarajanagar temple is entitled to the free service of two sets of Pharas consisting of 4 non-commissioned officers & 12 men of the Infantry Battalion, that the Dharmadarsis of the temple object to have a police guard consisting of 1 daffedar & 6 constables and requesting sanction for a Police guard consisting of 4 daffedars & 12 constables & for debiting the cost to the Police Dept.

The Mysore Comm. reports that he has ordered the D.C. Mysore not to withdraw the Military guard from the temple pending orders of Govt on the present Communication.

It is clear from the Jo. Mily 467-28-4 dated 18-1-30, flag T, that the temple has been in the long enjoyment of a Military guard consisting of 4 non-Commissioned officers & 12 men.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

Police guard at Chamarajanagar 188 Temples

File No. 170 of 1932 — General and Revenue Secretariat

In passing the fr referred to above it was also specifically settled that the cost should be borne by the Military Dept vide paras 38 to 44 of the rules flag B in the Mily file 467-28-4

Since then question that the Temple should have the full complement of the guard viz 4 officers & 12 men, has already been decided & that the right of the substitution in this matter has already been recognised, the guard cannot be reduced to 1 daffedar & 6 constables now merely because the Military guard is replaced by the Police.

The cost has to be necessarily met from the Police Dept.

The proposal of the Mysore Commr may perhaps be sanctioned

Sd
18/7/33

It has been ordered in G.O dated 26.6.33 that the guard duties at Chamarajanagar Temple be taken over by the Police, & a guard of 1 daffedar & 6 Constables has been fixed.

The Mysore Commissioner writes that the arms jewels worn by

Flag X

Temple

Serial No.

Flag T.

g unpaid trade jewels worth over Rs 30000 which are in daily use and that the Dharmadarsi state that strong protection is necessary & that the Bar guards may be retained. This Temple had the free service of 4 H.C.O's & 12 men from 1845, & fort stood in 1930. That this full guard be retained. In view of the apprehension of the Dharmadarsi the Nurrai Commission recommends that the full Complement of 4 daffedars & 12 men as police guard be allowed free to the Temple, & that the cost be met by the Police dept.

- The Temple is not entitled to the free service of guards. But it cannot be said that it is entitled to the same number of men. The point for consideration will be what is the guard that will be required for the security of the Temple. The chief Commandant while proposing the change from the Military to the Police has stated that the latter can do the duties with half the number of men. It is not clear how this is possible. Apparently the police are given longer hours of duty than the Military.

The State Huzur Treasury & the Nyayadhipati Treasury are each given police guards of 2 daffedars & 12 men. The other District Treasuries are each given only 1 daffedar & 6 men.

Flag B'

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0216 —GPB—15,000—22-8-32

186

10 : Police Guard for Chamarajanagar temple

PS File No. 120 of 193 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

It cannot be said that the property at the Chamarajanagar Temple is greater than at the State Treasury or the Mysore Treasury. But considering that this is frontier Taluk & the Temple is more open than a Treasury & a very large number of people have access to the Temple, a guard of 2 duffedars & 12 men may be allowed as to the principal Treasuries.

Chief Secretary.

C.C. 28/7/33

Please send the necessary Confidential letter to the I.G.P. & ask for his opinion as to the kind of treasuries where guards are maintained.

RG
2/8/33

CC 2/8/33

Draft letter to the I. G. of Police is submitted for approval.

2/8/33

GS 4/8

TYPING SECTION
3 AUG. 193
GEN'L & P.E.

To

The I. G. of Police.

Letter no. P 600 dated 4. 8. '33 forwarding a copy of letter dated 26/29. 6. 33 from the Mysore Commr. & requesting to be furnished with his views in the matter of the temple being given the full complement of the guard:

GS A
7/8/33

Police Guard for the Chamarajanagar Temple

1807

General and Revenue Secretariat | P.S. File No. 170 of 1932 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

From

The D. C. of Police

Letter no. A.C. 930/32 dated 4.10.33 stating that the Sanctioned Strength of the Police guard viz 1 Daffedar & 6 constables, for the Chamarajanagar Temple may be increased by 3 constables so that there may be 3 shifts of 4 hours duration each with 2 constables at a time.

In para 3 of the D.S.P.'s report a copy of which the D. C. of Police has forwarded, it is stated that the Dharmadarsi & the Amildar desire that the present Strength viz 1 daffedar & 6 constables may be increased by ~~to~~ 2 constables.

A copy of the letter of the D. C. of Police may perhaps be forwarded to the Muzrai Commissioner for his opinion.

Submitted for orders with a draft letter

7/10/33 The Muzrai may be consulted

9.10.33

The strength may be increased by three more Constables as proposed by the D.S.P.

Chief Secretary) 10/10/33

1st Member 19/10/33

Papers Please submit 19/10/33

to Second Member

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31.

TTD letter 24/10

OFFICE OF THE COUNCIL
FIRST MEMBER
21 OCT. 1933
No. 5626

Office of the Second Member of Council.
NOTE—Contn. Sheet.
24 OCT. 1933
No. 217-D

2

4372/33

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

The Insp. Gen. has recommended that the cost be debited to the Police Dept as was done in the past - It I presume This will be done -

Pl. verify.
2370 RG 27/10/33.

Vide fo. Mily 467-28-4 dated 19.1.80. flag X

RG 27/10/33.

27/10/33

Draft order is submitted for approval

Ke 28/10/1933.

Done 28/10/33

TYPING SECTN. 30 OCT. 1933 GENL. & REVE.

Pl. Cons. 741

Draft-Gazette Record:

For perusal
Pl. Secy) 31.10.33.

31/10

A.S. 1.11.33

Issued

Issue 1.11.33.

G.O P 1864-67 dated 31.10.33 Sanctioning a police guard of 1 Jemadar 1 9 Constables for the Chamarajanagar temple.

G. Br. Branch for kindly taking back their papers & returning the file.

Pl. Secy Secy Mily

23/11/33 Asst

Returned with compliments for a signed copy of the fo. being sent to this branch. (To be filed with the Misl. Collections)

filed 24/11

for Secy

24/11/33 to file note Broker-Gazette for Govt notification.

Issue 24/11/33

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Tel. Address
"POLICE"

PHONE No. 298

When replying please quote No. A.C.930/32

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE,

Dated Bangalore, 12th April 1933.

FROM

F. A. HAMILTON, ESQ., C.I.E.,
Inspector-General of Police in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

N.MADHAVA RAO,ESQ., B.A.,B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.G.7274/Mily. 165-32-3 dated the 7th instant calling for my proposals as to the extra establishment required for the Police Department in connection with the transfer of Temple and Mutt Guard duties from the Military to the Police, I have the honour to furnish the same below and to state that as regards the Nanjangud and Sosele Mutts, contribution on account of leave and pension and equipment has also been taken into consideration in calculating the cost. The staff will as usual, be added on to the strength of the respective stations.

1. Chamarajanagar Temple:-

			Pay
Daffedar	1	Daffedar	Rs.25/-
Constables	6	Constables 6 x 15	90/-
			115/-

Equipment &.Clothing:-

Daffedar	11-2-0 p.m.
Constables 6 at 6-9-4	39-8-0 "
	50-10-0 per annum

or Rs.4-3-6 per mensem.
Fixed contingencies - Re.1 per annum

Total	Rs. 1,380- 0-0	Pay
	50-10-0	Equipment
	12- 0-0	Fixed contingencies
	1,442-10-0	Per annum

N.B. - The entire cost of Rs.120-3-6 per mensem or Rs.1,442-10-0 per annum has to be charged to Government funds.

2. Nanjangud and Sosele Mutts:-

Staff required - 1 Daffedar and 4 constables per Mutt.

Pay - 2 Daffedars - 25 x 2 ...	Rs.50	0	0
8 constables at Rs.15/- each	120	0	0
	170	0	0
Contributions:-			
i Equipment and Clothing 1/10 of pay	17	0	0
ii Leave and pension allowances; ¼ of pay	42	8	0
Fixed contingencies Re.1/- per mensem per Mutt	2	0	0
Total	231	8	0 per mensem

or Rs.2,778/- per annum. To be paid in equal shares by the Mutts Viz. Rs.1,389/- per annum per Mutt. -

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Inspector General of Police.

NK.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. P. 5971 / Enl 1

Dated, Bangalore, ... 16th May ..... 1933.

From

The *Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner

in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir, Subject:- Posting of Police instead of Military guards to Raghavendraswami Mutt, Nanjangud, and Vyasaraya-swami Mutt, Sosale.

I am directed to invite reference to Government Order No. G. 7829-32/Military 165-32-6 dated 5th May 1933 on the above subject and to forward herewith a copy of letter No.C.C.930/32 dated 12th April 1933 from the Inspector General of Police proposing the Police guards to be posted to the two Mutts in question.

I am to request you to be so good as to ascertain and intimat the agreement of the Matadhipathis of these two Mutts to the change proposed.

The favour of a very early reply is requested as it is proposed to give effect to the change from 1st June.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Chief Secretary to Government.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Rel

File No. 170-32

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. F 597/ Genl

To The Muzrai Commissioner

Subject:- Posting of Police instead of Military guards to ~~Chandrapraphar Temples~~ Raghavendra Swami Mutt, Nanjanpur and Vyasaraya Swami Mutt, Sosale.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 16/5/33

Signed by Dw C S Iyer

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to G.O no G.7829-32/Military 165-32-6 dated 5.5.33 on the above subject and to forward herewith a copy of letter no A.C. 930/32 dated 12.4.33 from the I.G. of Police proposing the Police guards to be posted to the two Mutts in question.

P.W.D 1

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

I am to request you to be so good as to ascertain and intimate ~~obtain, & forward~~, the ~~willingness~~ ~~sanction~~ of the Mahathipathis of these

Examined by Agreement

5

to the change proposed.

respect two Mutts ~~in the Mediterranean~~

~~The Lords Commissioners~~ A reply ~~by the 26th instant~~ ~~subject to the~~ ~~is required~~ ~~to the change to the proposal sent to you from the Admiralty~~ Home Office

16/5/83. is ~~is~~ in respect to the change from the Admiralty

Home

C

For Chief Clerk

6304/32 6

M. C. 13]

No. 1272/32-33
Encl 2

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, 2nd June 1933.

Pol 170-32-3

FROM

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ,. M.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esq , B.A., B.L.,
Chief *Secretary to the Government of His Highness*
the Maharaja of Mysore.
.....*Department*x

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.P.5971 dated 16th May 1933 and subsequant reminder No.P6127 dated 27th May 1933 re the Military guards deputed to Sri Vyasarayaswami and Sri Raghavendraswami Matts, I have the honour to submit herewith in original reply Srimukhas received from the Swamies of the two institutions expressing ------- apprehension that the Police guard will not be as efficient as the Military and requesting that the Military guard itself may be ---- continued permanently, as they are agreeable to bear the entire xxxx cost on account of pay, pension contribution etc, I am however of opinion that their apprehensions are unfounded, and when the Police reserve have replaced Military guard in the Treasuries and other important duties, I do not see why a different order should be --- allowed in the Mutts.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.



శ్రీమజ్జనాంబుసంభవక్షీరదధీత్యుశుద్ధశింశ్వదల్లూశ్రీమద్రామకోటింజనశరభులుగళ్ళ
వరశిష్యశింఢాపనసాధీశ్వరరింద శ్రీవుట్టిద్యాశ్రీపాంఖిధిశీర్థద్రశ్రీపాదంగళ్ళవరు—

నవుసిశీకరవుప్రియరాంద వ్యవస్థిపిసుంకు సంస్థానదవుబళ్ళిక్షీరపీఠనవరవంశ-
ష్ఠకొండధిశీశ్రీలంయోంభత్తెశ్వర్ధవాగిబ్బరిశీళ్ళదనిరుంపనివమ్మ 22-5-33నే
వరుడ్రంబినడుశీశ్రబందుశలపిశు. అదరల్లుప్రకృతశనోన్నసుంస్థానక్కే చుంబీగ్
బసి గాగికొంబ్బవరశర్మ బార్ గార్ శీపాంయిగళ్ళగే చదలాగిహోంటలేసుకొం
డస్టేచాల్ గళ్ళన్నకొంతుశర్మన్నుందువ్యవస్థాళగిశళ్ళే. రవిషుంచుదల్లశంచు
అభిప్రాయంచుంనశీంతలోతిళ్ళసుళోహేంబుదాగివళ్ళిశీబాసిద్దుసోండిశశాం
శళ్ళవాగినమనేవ్రాటుంగిరశర్మమనోంధ్వర్థియుంనలుఖిశమయొళ్ళశాంగిబ్బళ్ళ
శీళ్ళసలుసొధ్ధవల్లవాగిధీ. ఆదరిగనళ్ళశ్రీపుశదల్లురువలష్టొంశరరుంపొంయిబీ
లీచొంధ్రువఅమోంఘవాద ఆళ్ళరణంచుంశాదుచ్చుగళ్ళంగనరూగినవరమదుష్టి
లరల్లమేల్మండబార్ శీపాంయిగళ్ళ భ్రూంకొండగిమశిళ్ధందలుసొన్నవల్లవాగిధీ
అల్లదేబళుచళిగూ అందరి 15 వర్షశాంలదిందలూనుదంచుంల్లుగళ్ళంద
చర్మాశదవరురబార్ శీపాంయిగళ్ళపరుశీయన్నశోంట్రిశోండాదరిందచుం
దోంచప్పువిషుంచుదల్లసాపుదావల్లదాన్నగ్గాదరుంనుసిరాంశంశీవాగిదేవర
హొంబపొశప్రవశీసాంనదాసాందిగళ్ళంనమాడిశోండుశీమత్వండాప్రీదు
గళ్ళవరశ్రేంయ: ప్రొర్థనేయుంనుఅవరపరిపాశభ్యాంశరాందనిందుంట్లూ
వరశ్రేంచు: ప్రొర్థనేయుంనూసడవహొండిశోండిరిశోండాపాగిధీ. ఆద్వుదిం
దపరమదరిచూళ్ళుగళ్ళందస్మారదవరుశీనంచుమండాసంస్థానదల్లదళే
ట్రస్తీళ్ళన్నవనుగాగిమేల్మండమేరిశీబందోంచెస్తిగాగిరుచుశొరపొం
థర్వాగిరుచుంశీరు ఎందినంశీబార్ శీపాంయిగాండుగళ్ళంనోనేనంమల్ల
శీంయుంటగిళ్ళిరువంశీమూర్తికొండచీశు. డిటోంబార్ గాండుగళ్ళశీ
శ్రీమ్మాశదవరువ్యవస్థాపమండిదమేరిశీశలబు. మంత్రుశీంట్రపేర్ట్ బీంష్ట్
మోందలుంద్రుళిదువరేవిగూంచుంచుప్రశొరసంస్థానదిందలీనశీంశొం
గాపాశుక్తిదేయిసోంళిదరంశీమదిందియుంనుదరింపలునిర్వహగికొండాపొం
గాధీ. ఆద్వురిందమేల్మండబార్ గాండుగశీపాంయిగళ్ళంనోనేనంమల్లశ
వంశీమూర్తిగాపునిరాంశంశీవాగిచేవరపూజాపొంథప్రవశీసాంనదానా
దిగళ్ళంనమాడిశోండిశీవంశీమూర్తికొంట్రినంమశ్రీసోంపొలక్ష్మిమ్మా
చేవరపరమానుగ్రహద్మ్మ ఆచంద్రార్కశీవాదరున్నాంశీగళ్ళగూనొరం
శీమ్మాశదవరోంనోపొశ్రారాగుత్తాశీంచుదరింపుగళ్ళందస్మారదవరంన్నో



No. C-1272
32-33
OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
29. MAY 1933
IN MYSORE BANGALORE

[illegible]

[illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [

G.R.S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 29/6/1938.

Signed by ..... in the Central file
Mr C.S.J.

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Flag B
in the bunded file

Section Ref

File No. 170-32

Serial No. 4

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read— G.O no G. 5440-51/Mily-271-29-18 dated 6.1.32 passing orders in connection with the reorganisation of the Mysore Infantry as an active battalion that it may be relieved of its non-military duties of guarding

Order thereon.—No the treasuries.

2. G.O no P 3716-27 PQR 136-31-2 dated 6.1.32 sanctioning the transfer of the ~~treasury guard duties from duties of guarding the Treasuries & other non-~~ duties of guarding the treasuries

and certain other Officers from the Military to the Police Dept.

3. G.O no G.7829-32 Mily 165-32-6 dated 5.5.33 Recording the proposal of the Chief Commandant in regard to the transfer of the guard of the marginally noted temple & mutts to the Police Dept.

4. Correspondence ending with the letter no A.C. 930/32 dated 12.4.33 from the D.C. of Police forwarding proposals for providing the temple & Mutts with Police guards as follows:-

1. Chamarajanagar Temple

1 Jamedar pay Rs 25

6 constables, pay at Rs 15 each : 90

Rs 115 x 12 = 1380 per annum

2. Equipment & Clothing

Jamedar Rs 11-2-0

constables at Rs 6-9-4 } 39-8-0

The entire cost to be charged to Grant funds.

flag y in the Cover file.

1) Chamarajanagar Temple
2) Raghavendraswami Mutt Nanjangud
3) Vysarajaswami Mutt Sosale.

P.U.S.L

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

M-20,000-16-8-31.

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

3 Fixed Contingencies Rs 12-00

Total 1442-10-0

The entire cost to be charged to Govt funds. Provision.

II 1. Nanjanud & Sosale Mutts.

1 daffedar & 4 constables, ~~each~~

per mutt.

2 daffadars at 25 each Rs 50

8 Constables at Rs 15 120.

Rs 170 ~~x 12~~

Rs 2040 per annum

2. Equipment

Clothing at 10/- Rs 17

3. Leave & pension

allowances at 1/4 pay 42-8-0

3. Fixed contingencies Rs 2-0-0

Re 1 each per mutt.

231-8-0 per mensem

or Rs 2778 per annum to be met in equal shares viz Rs 1389 per mutt.

5. Correspondence ending with letter No 1272/32-23 dated 2/5-6-33 from the Mysore Commr stating that the Mathathipathis of the Nanjanud & Sosale Mutts prefer to retain the Military

P.W.D.

WD 0255—GPR—10,000—23-8-32

have
guards to rather than having
the proposed Police guards.

Order thereon No. P6675—79.

Separate orders issued & passed
~~Pending further action~~
in regard to the posting of
Police guards in the two
Mutts referred to in the
G.O read above, Govt are
pleased to sanction the
police guard for the Chamaraja
nagar Temple as proposed
by the D. C. of Police with effect from 1.7.83
The expenditure will be
met from the budget of the
Mysore Dept for 1983-84.

for Chief Sec to Govt

To

The Mysore Commr

The D. C. of Police
The Chief Commandant Mysore Troops.
The Comptroller to Govt

through the first Asstt.
The Dy Commr, Mysore District

Copy to the Addl Secretary

28/Sept/83

ROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read :—

Government Order No.G.5440-51/Mily.271-29-10 dated 6th January 1932, passing orders in connection with the re-organisation of the Mysore Infantry as an active battalion that it may be relieved of its non-military duties of guarding the treasuries.

2. Government Order No.F.3716-27/Fol.136-31-2 dated 6th January 1932, sanctioning the transfer of the duties of guarding the treasuries and certain other offices from the Military to the Police Department.

3. Government Order No.S.7029-32/Mily.165-32-6 dated 5th May 1933, recording the proposal of the Chief Commandant in regard to the transfer of the guard duties of the marginally noted temple and matts to the Police Department.

1. Chamarajanagar Temple.
2. Raghavendraswami Matt. Nanjangud.
3. Vyasarayaswami Matt. Tonnle.

4. Correspondence ending with letter No.A.C.939/32 dated 12th April 1933, from the Inspector General of Police forwarding proposals for providing the temple and matts with Police Guards as follows :-

1. 1 Chamarajanagar Temple.
   1 Daffedar Pay Rs.25/-
   6 Constables Pay at Rs.15 each. ... 90
   Rs. 115 x 12 = 1,380/- per annum.

2. Equipment and Clothing
   Daffedar Rs. 11- 2- 0
   Constables at Rs. 30- 0- 0

6-2-4

The entire cost is to be debited to Government funds.

6 fixed contingencies. Rs.12-0-0

Total Rs. 1,442-12-0 per annum.

(The entire cost to be charged to Local funds)

II. 1 Banjangud & Gundlu Patts.

1 Daffedar and 4 Constables per Patt.

2 Daffedars at Rs.25 each	Rs. 50/-
3 Constables at Rs.15/- each	120
	Rs.170
2 Equipment & clothing at 10%	" 17
3.Leave & Pension allowances 1/4th pay.	" 42- 8- 0
4.Fixed contingencies Rs.1/- per Patt.	" 2-00- 0
	Rs.231- 8- 0 per mensem

or Rs.2,772/- per annum to be met in equal shares viz., Rs. 1,539/- per Patt.

5. Correspondence ending with letter No.1272/32-33 dated 2/5th June 1933. from the Inspr. General Commissioner, stating that the Mathadipathis of the Banjangud and Gundlu Patts prefer to retain the Military guards rather than have proposed Police guards.

ORDER NO. P 6675-79 PoC 170-32—4

Dated, 26th/29 June 1933.

Government are pleased to sanction the police guard for the Chamarajanagar Temple as proposed by the Inspector General of Police with effect from 1st July 1933.

The expenditure will be met from the budget of the Inspr. Department for 1933-34.

Separate orders will be passed in regard to the posting of Police guards in the two Patts referred to in the Govern-

ment

Government Order read above.

For Chief Secretary to Government.

To

The Zonal Commissioner in Mysore.
" Inspector General of Police in Mysore.
" Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops.
" Controller to Government, [illegible] the Financial Secretariat.
" Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

M.M.M.10/2f-6.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Rel.

File No. 15 170-32-

Serial No. 5

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. P6674

To

The Mysore Commissioner

Subject:— Posting of Police guards instead of Military guards to Sri Raghavendra Swami Mutt—Nanjangud & Sri Vyasaraja Swami Mutt, Sosale.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no 1272/82-83 dated 25.6.83 on the above subject I am directed to request you to let me know as soon as to ~~when~~ the Matathipathis of the two Mutts referred to above, that is ~~has been decided in accordance with~~ is in accordance with the recent policy decision to withdraw Military guards from ~~their~~ Non Military duties and in pursuance of the

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 29.6.83

Signed by Mr C.S.J.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by .....

19 25

policy all the Military guards
in places like temple and Mutts
which are civil institutions
~~which~~ are being ~~have to be~~ replaced by
Police guards if any guards
are deemed necessary at these
institutions, ~~and~~ their apprehension are unfounded as
~~& that the treasuries and that~~ even the treasuries ~~are being~~
~~are unable to have Police guards~~ only police guards.
~~they will have to do without any~~
~~guards at all.~~

(The said Mutts may be advised that Military guards have been replaced by the police)

I am also to request that the opinion of the Heads of these two Mutts may ~~be~~ kindly be obtained on the above points & ~~forward~~ furnished to Govt at an early date with information as to whether the present date of sanction of the said guards to these two institutions expires.

Since the Military early have to discard of the guard duties at the civil institutions I am to request ~~that the said~~ Mutts desire to have police guards

That first may be informed very early if the said Mutts desire to have police guards in place of the Military that will be withdrawn

Thanks etc

7/6/83.

for confidential

(1)

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 26th June 1933.

No. P6674 Pol 70-32-5 29

From

The *Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

Subject:- Posting of Police guards instead of Military guards to Sri Raghavendraswami Mutt, Nanjangud and Sri Vyasarayaswami Mutt, Sosale.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.1272/32-33 dated 2/5th June 1933, on the above subject, I am directed to request you to be so good as to communicate to the Matadhipathis of the two Mutts referred to above, that it is the recent policy of Government to release Military Guards from Non-Military duties and in pursuance of the policy all the Military Guards in places like temple and Mutts which are civil institutions are being replaced by Police guards if any guards are deemed necessary at these institutions, the said Mutts may be advised that their apprehensions are unfounded as even at the Government Treasuries Military guards have been replaced by the Police.

Since the Military has to be released early of the guard duties at the civil institutions, I am to request that Government may be informed very early, if the said Mutts

Mutts desire to have police guards in place of the Military that will be withdrawn.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Chief Secretary to Government.

K.M.R.2/26-6.

Pol 170-32-6 1882/P/33

M. C. 13]

No. 1272/32-33

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, 8th July *1933.*

FROM

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

R. Ranga Rau, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief *Secretary to the Government of His Highness*
the Maharaja of Mysore.
Bangalore.

Secy
11 JULY 1933

SIR,

With reference to C.O. No.P.6675-79/Pol.170-32-4 dated 26/29th June 1933 fixing the strength of the Police Guard for the Sri Chamarajeswaraswami temple at Chamarajnagar, I have the honor to state that the order in question directs that a guard consisting of one Daffedar and 6 constables at a total cost of Rs. 1442-10-0 per annum be sanctioned for the temple and that the expenditure during 1933-34 be met from the budget of the Muzrai Department.

2. In this connection, I invite kind reference of Government to correspondence ending with C.O. No.G.6379-82/Mil.467-28-4 dated 18th January 1930 recognising the right of the temple to the free service of 4 non-commissioned officers and 12 men of the Infantry Battalion for duty at the institution. It will be seen therefrom that the temple is entitled to and is in the free enjoyment of two sets of Pharas of Darr Commission under the authority of the Sanad of 16th March 1849 issued by His Highness the Maharaja Sri Krishna Raja Wadeyar Bahadur III.

3. The temple owns precious jewellry worth lakhs of rupees in addition to jewels worth more than Rs. 30,000/- which are in daily use. The Dharmadarsis of the temple, while stating that the safety of the jewels lies in the imposing Military Guard stationed at the main entrance of the temple, more particularly as the taluk is a frontier one, have submitted that strong protection to the temple is necessary and that the Darr Guard may be retained.

4. In view of the privilege that the temple has been long enjoying at the hands of Government, and the apprehension felt by the Dharmadarsis; I beg to recommend that the temple may be given the full complement of 4 Jaffedars and 12 constables and the cost ordered to be met from the budget of the Police Department on the analogy of the previous practice in the Military Department.

5. I have requested the Deputy Commissioner not to relieve the present Infantry Guard, pending orders of Government on the above proposals and request that the action may kindly be approved.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Huzrai Commissioner.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. P. 600 - Pol 170-32-7

Dated, Bangalore, 3rd August 1933.

From

The *Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Inspector General of Police in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

Subject:-Police Guard sanctioned for Chamarajanagar Temple.

In continuation of G.O. No.P.6675-79/Pol. 170-32-4, dated 26th/29th June 1933 on the above subject, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No.1272/32-33 dated 8th/11th July 1933 from the Muzrai Commissioner in the matter of the temple being given the full complimenta of the guard, viz., 4 Police Duffedars and 12 constables on the basis of the Military guard of 4 non-commissioned officers and 12 men allowed to it so far.

I am to request you to be so good as to favour Government with your opinion in the matter at a very early date.

The matter may kindly be treated as urgent.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Chief Secretary to Government.

Aga/2

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Pol

File No. 170-32

Serial No. 7

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. P. 620/Gen/

To

The D. C. of Police

Subject:— Police guard sanctioned for Chamarajanagar Temple.

Sir,

In continuation of G.O no. P 6675-79/Pol 170-32-4 dated 26/29 June 1933 on the above subject, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter no 1272/32-39 dated 8/11-7-33 from the Mysore Commissioner in the matter of the temple being given the full compliment of the guard viz 4 Police daffedars and 12 constables on the basis of the Military guard of 4 non Commissioned officers & 12 men allowed to it Rs 80 per

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 4/8/33

Signed by M.C.S. Iyer

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 0253—GPB—15,000—26-8-32

I am to request you to be so good as to favour me with your opinion in the matter at a very early date.

The matter may kindly be treated as urgent.

2/9/83

Thanking etc

Yours obediently

1807 P 33 7

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Tel. Address
"POLICE"

PHONE No. 298

When replying please quote No. P.E-9303/32

Pol 170-32-8.

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE,

Dated Bangalore, 4th October ..... 1933.

DESPATCHED
6 OCT 19

FROM

F. A. HAMILTON, ESQ., C.I.E.,
Inspector-General of Police in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Note. Br.
6/10/33

To

R.Ranga Rao, Esqr., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

SIR,

Subject:- Increasing the strength of the Police Guard sanctioned for the Chamarajanagara Temple.

.....

With reference to your letter No.P. 600-Pol 170-32-7 dated 3/4th August 1933, calling for my opinion on the above subject, I have the honor to state that the District Superintendent of Police, Mysore, reports in his letter No. G2.C. 229/33 dated 9-9-1933 (copy enclosed) that the present guard consisting of 1 Daffedar and 6 constables is working in 3 shifts of 4 hours duration each with two constables at a time, that one constable will be in front of the guard room and the other at the main gate, that the entire guard including the Daffedar sleep at night in the temple along with 3 watchmen and that the posting of a constable at the Sri Ammanavaru temple is felt necessary.

2. I agree with the District Superintendent of Police and am of opinion that the utmost that appears necessary under the circumstances is one extra sentry and for this purpose, the strength of the guard may be increased by 3 constables so that there may be 3 shifts of 4 hours duration each with 3 constables at a time.

I have the honor to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE.

Letter No.G2.C.229/33 dated 8th September 1933 from the District Superintendent of Police, Mysore District, to the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, Bangalore.

.....

With reference to your No.A.C.930/32 dated 9-8-1933 calling for my opinion re: the adequacy of the present guard of one Daffedar and six constables to the Chamarajanagar temple guard duty etc., I have the honour to state that a report had been called for from the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Nanjangud to furnish his opinion in the matter. He in reply states as follows after inspecting the temple on 19-8-1933 in company with the Amildar.

2 Within the enclosure-- surrounded by high compound walls, there are three temples-- the Sri Chamarajeshwara Swami temple in the middle, Sri Ammanavaru temple to its left and Sri Chamundamba temple to its right. There is a strong room attached to the Sri Chamundamba temple and all the valuables of the temple are secured in that room and it is always sealed.

3 The present temple staff of one daffedar and six constables are working on three shifts of four hours each and each time there will be two constables on duty. One of them will be in front of the strong room where valuable jewels of Rs 10,00,000/- are kept. One other constable will be at the main gate. Daffedar will be present at each shift of constables and all the six constables and the daffedar will be present at night in the temple when the main entrance will have to be secured from inside. There is no constable posted at the Sri Ammanavaru temple at present. The Amildar and the Dharmadarsis of the temple desire that the present strength may be increased by two more constables so that one of them may remain in front of Sri Ammanavaru temple. The reason for this necessity appears to be that in the year 1920 some stranger who visited the temple carryed away a jewel from the temple. To meet this, it has been suggested that without increasing the strength of the guard, the constables may be made to work for 6 hours at a time during day time and in batches of 3 constables so that one constable may be posted in the Sri Ammanavaru temple during day time when the temple doors are kept open. As the premises are quite safe being protected by strong and high compound walls -

walls, one daffedar and 6 constables and 3 temple servants, at nights are enough to guard the temple. Copy of Asst.Superintendent of Police's report No. C.446/33 dat d 20-8-33 is herewith enclosed for kind perusal.

But I think that it will not be justifiable to overwork the constables by giving them 6 hours duty at a stretch. Therefore if the temple authorities are prepared to pay the extra cost of the additional two constables to guard the Sri Ammanavaru temple, the same may kindly be sanctioned.

"C o p y"

For Inspector General of Police.

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to...180.....

Section PD

File No. 170 - 32

Serial No. 10

General and Revenue Secretariat

(PRDN.)

the 28th Oct 1933.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue..... 193

Signed by.....

1. Daffedar — pay Rs 25/
6. Constables — pay at Rs 15 each

Read— G.O no P 6675-79/PD 170-32 dated 26.6.'33 sanctioning X-29 thereon the marginally noted Police Guard for the Chamarajanagar Temple

2. Letter no 1272/32-33 dated 8/11.7.'33 from the Mysore Commr. requesting in the circumstances stated by Sri Chamarajeswaraswamy Swamy that the Temple referred at Chamarajanagar in the G.O read above, may be given a Police Guard consisting of 1 daffedar & 12 Constables on the analogy of the Military Guard of 1 non Commissioned Officer & 12 men of the Infantry Battalion

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, kannada part ?

3)

allowed for the temple so far. A
that the cost may be met from
the Police department the temple is entitled for free services of
the [redacted] guard-post
(vide G.O. no. G. 8379-82 / Mdy 467-28
-H dated 18. 1. 33)

flag 'A'

3. Correspondence ending with
letter no. Ac. 930/32 dated 4.10.33
from the D. G. of Police stating
that the D.S.P has reported that
the Amildar & Dharmadarsis
desire that the Police guard
sanctioned in the G.O. read above,
may be increased by two more
constables so that one may
remain in front of Sri
Ammanavararu temple during
day time by two shifts lasting
each for 6 hours, when the
temple is open and accompanying
correspondence stated so that the G.O.
read above and recommending
that the sanctioned strength
of the police guard may be
increased by three more
more constables so that there
may be three shifts lasting each for four hours during
day time.

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

32

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

Order thereon N.O.P. 964-6/
dt 31/10/33
Sanctioned. The cost of
the Police Guard — 1 Jaffedar
4 Constables — will be met
from the budget of the police
Deptt.

for Chief Secretary to Govt.

To
The Honorary Comptr.
The D. C. & S.P. Police
The Accountant General through
the finl Secrh.
The D.C. Mysore Dist.
The C.C. Mysore State Troops.

28/10/33 Copy to Mysore

W.D 0255—GPB—10,000—23-8-32